

Date: Wed, 26 Jan 94 10:07:29 PST
From: Ham-Ant Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-ant@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Ant-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Ant@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Ant Digest V94 #14
To: Ham-Ant

Ham-Ant Digest Wed, 26 Jan 94 Volume 94 : Issue 14

Today's Topics:

 Need tough flexible ht antenna
 RG-58 and Discone ant. problem at VHF

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Ant@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Ant-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Ant Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-ant".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 23 Jan 1994 21:01:49 -0800
From: nntp.ucsb.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!ctp.org!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Need tough flexible ht antenna
To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

millerpe@spot.Colorado.EDU (Peter Miller) writes:

>
> I am looking for a tough flexible HT antenna. The HT is going to be used
> skiing and I expect it to get bent around a bunch by clothing. I need it
> to be very flexible because I am worried about stress on the HT's bnc
> connector. Any suggestions. (2m antenna)

Probably one of those gain-type duckies that Diamond/Comet/etc. sell may
be flexible enough but some of them are 15" long and may be more of a
problem length-wise.

If you're just looking for a ducky for the sake of having a rubber duck
antenna and not worried about performance, look into the "stubby" ducks
some antenna manufactures sell (larson, for one). Short and not liable
to get in the way, IMHO.

--

Gary T. Lau, N6MMM // garlau@eis.calstate.edu
California Technology Project/Cal State Univ. // garlau@ctp.org

Date: Wed, 26 Jan 94 04:36:29 GMT
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10!chowes@uunet.uu.net
Subject: RG-58 and Discone ant. problem at VHF
To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

A guy I know recently installed a Discone Antenna (R-S brand) and 50 ft of RG 58 for his scanner. Problem: The rubber duck on the handheld unit, 20 ft lower than the outside antenna works better than the discone. Eppler field and their aircraft and Omaha PD (UHF) are full quieting on the duck, barely audible on the outside antenna. He swapped out the coax for another run of '58 with the same result. He swapped out the discone for one of the 3 vertical rod scanner antennas. I haven't heard yet how well that worked out. Does anyone have a suggestion what the problem could have been? How could the antenna have been so bad?

Thank's for any suggestions

Chester F. Howes, N8GHF, <chowes@nyx.cs.du.edu>

End of Ham-Ant Digest V94 #14
